arXiv:1512.01934v2 [gr-qc] 9 Dec 2015

Ricci polynomial gravity

Xin Hao and Liu Zhao*
School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China
email: shanehowe@mail.nankai.edu.cn and lzhao@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

We study a novel class of higher curvature gravity models in n spacetime dimensions which we call Ricci polynomial gravity. The action is consisted purely of a polynomial in Ricci curvature of order N. In the absence of the second order terms in the action, the models are ghost free around the Minkowski vacuum. By appropriately choosing the coupling coefficients in front of each terms in the action, it is shown that the models can have multiple vacua with different effective cosmological constants, and can be made free of ghost and scalar degrees of freedom around at least one of the maximally symmetric vacua for any $n > 2$ and any $N \geq 4$. We also discuss some of the physical implications of the existence of multiple vacua in the contexts of black hole physics and cosmology.

*Correspondence author.

1 Introduction

In this work, we are aimed to study a particular class of higher curvature gravity models which we call Ricci polynomial gravity. Models of higher curvature gravity have been extensively studied for at least two reasons. One reason is to improve the ultra violet behavior in the quest for a consistent quantum theory of gravity. The other one comes from cosmological studies, where the higher curvature corrections play a role for the remedy of the extra unknown source which is often called dark energy. Of all models of higher curvature gravity, the most well studied examples are $f(R)$ gravity [1–5] and Lanczos-Lovelock gravity [6–8]. Other important models include the so-called critical gravity [9, 10], Conformal or Weyl gravity [11–13] and the various versions of massive gravities [14–19]. Despite the fact that many of the models behave well in one aspect or another, many of them contain ghost or propagating massive degrees of freedom. In fact, the ghost free conditions and the removal of massive degrees of freedom impose very strong constraints in model construction for higher curvature gravities. The actions of the class of models which we will study have a very particular structure: the whole Lagrangian density is consisted of a polynomial of order N in Ricci curvature, with the k-th order term being $\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}} = R^{\mu_1}{}_{\mu_2} R^{\mu_2}{}_{\mu_3} \cdots R^{\mu_k}{}_{\mu_1}$. By properly choosing the coefficients in front of each terms in the Lagrangian density, the models can be made free of ghost as well as scalar degrees of freedom around at least one of the permitted vacua in any spacetime dimension $n > 2$ and for any order $N \geq 4$. To our knowledge, this type of higher curvature gravity has never been studied in the literature before.

2 Field equation and vacuum solutions

Before rush into the action of the Ricci polynomial gravity, let us first prepare some notations for later convenience.

For any nonnegative integer k, we define the tensors $\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ on the spacetime manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(0)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}, \\
&\overset{(1)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu}, \\
&\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{(k-1)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\sigma} R^{\sigma}{}_{\nu},
\end{aligned}$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor. Clearly we have

$$\underbrace{R_{\mu}{}^{\cdot} R_{\cdot}{}^{\cdot} \cdots R_{\cdot}{}^{\cdot} R_{\cdot\nu}}_{k} = \underbrace{R_{\nu\cdot} R^{\cdot}{}_{\cdot} \cdots R^{\cdot}{}_{\cdot} R^{\cdot}{}_{\mu}}_{k}$$

so that $\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric in $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. Naturally we define $\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}$ as the trace of $\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}} = g^{\mu\nu}\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}.$$

Now let us consider the Ricci polynomial gravity of order N in n spacetime dimensions, which may be denoted the $\text{RicPoly}_{(n,N)}$ model for short. The action is given as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|g|}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\alpha_k \overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}. \tag{1}$$

For $N = 1$ or $\{\alpha_k\} = \{\alpha_1, 0, \cdots, 0\}$ we wish the standard general relativity to be recovered, therefore, α_1 is fixed to be unity.

The first order variation of the action reads

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|g|}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{N}\alpha_k \overset{(k)}{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu}\Big)\delta g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|g|}\nabla^\sigma\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{N} k\alpha_k \overset{(k)}{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma\Big), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_\sigma$ is some complicated vector expression which is linear in $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ and its covariant derivatives, and

$$\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu} = k\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k}{2}\big(\Box \overset{(k-1)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta \overset{(k-1)}{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha\beta}\big) - k\nabla^\sigma\nabla_{(\mu}\overset{(k-1)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\nu)\sigma}, \tag{3}$$

which are divergence-free for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\nabla^\mu \overset{(k)}{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Assuming that we can add an appropriate boundary counter term to cancel the extra total divergence term in (2), we arrive at the following field equation,

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\alpha_k \overset{(k)}{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{5}$$

The divergence-free condition (4) ensures that we can supplement the original action (1) by ordinary minimally coupled matter sources.

Now retaining to the sourceless field equation, it is obvious to see that all solutions of the equation $R_{\mu\nu} = \chi g_{\mu\nu}$ are also solutions of (5), with the constant χ determined by

$$A_{(n,N)}(\chi) = 0, \qquad A_{(n,N)}(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N}\alpha_k\big(k - \frac{n}{2}\big)x^k. \tag{6}$$

For arbitrarily chosen coefficients $\{\alpha_k, k \geq 2\}$, nonzero solutions to (6) are not guaranteed to exist. Nevertheless, $\chi = 0$ is always a solution, which means that Ricci flat

manifolds (including the Minkowski spacetime) are always vacuum solutions of Ricci polynomial gravity. We will see in the next section that the ghost free condition does not allow all the coefficients α_k to be chosen arbitrarily. On the contrary, they must be subject to a set of algebraic constraints, which, when fulfilled, do allow for nonzero χ to arise as solution to (6). This would, in turn, mean that the $\text{RicPoly}_{(n,N)}$ model may be ghost free and possess multiple vacua with at least some vacuum having nonzero effective cosmological constant. This explains why we did not include a bare cosmological constant term (i.e. the $k = 0$ term) in the action (1).

3 Linear perturbations

Assume that the field equation admits an Einstein manifold with metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\bar{g}) = \chi \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \tag{7}$$

as a vacuum, and let us consider fluctuations around this background metric.

The spacetime metric with fluctuation is denoted $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$, where $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is to be considered as "small" deviation from the background metric. It is customary to denote $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ as $h_{\mu\nu}$, and also $h^{\mu\nu} = -\delta g^{\mu\nu} = -\bar{g}^{\mu\rho}\bar{g}^{\nu\sigma}h_{\rho\sigma}$, $h = h^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$, $A_\mu = \nabla^\nu h_{\mu\nu}$, where ∇_μ is the covariant derivative associated with the background metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

Expanding the field equation to linear order in $h_{\mu\nu}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta H_{\mu\nu} = &\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k \Big[k\delta\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{n}{2}\chi^k h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k}{2}\chi^{k-1}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\sigma A^\sigma - \Box h - \chi h)\Big] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \alpha_{k+1}\Big[\frac{k+1}{2}\big(\Box\delta\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\delta\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta}\big) - (k+1)\nabla^\sigma\nabla_{(\mu}\delta\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\nu)\sigma}\Big] = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\delta\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} = -\Big(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\overset{(i-1)}{\mathcal{R}}{}_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}\overset{(k-i)}{\mathcal{R}}{}_{\nu}{}^{\beta}\Delta_L + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\overset{(i)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}\overset{(k-i)}{\mathcal{R}}{}_{\nu}{}^{\beta}\Big)h_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{9}$$

$$\Delta_L h_{\mu\nu} = \Box h_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu h - 2\big(\nabla_{(\mu}A_{\nu)} + R^\rho{}_{(\mu}h_{\nu)\rho} - R_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\nu{}^\beta h_{\alpha\beta}\big), \tag{10}$$

and all curvature tensors appearing in (8)-(9) are evaluated on the background geometry. The operator Δ_L is known as the Lichnerowicz operator. Using (7), we can simplify (9) into the form

$$\delta\overset{(k)}{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} = -\Big(\frac{1}{2}k\chi^{k-1}\Delta_L + (k-1)\chi^k\Big)h_{\mu\nu}. \tag{11}$$

Further, if we take the background spacetime to be a maximally symmetric manifold with

$$R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}(\bar{g}) = \frac{\chi}{n-1}(\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \bar{g}_{\mu\beta}\bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}), \quad R_{\mu\nu}(\bar{g}) = \chi\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad R(\bar{g}) = n\chi, \tag{12}$$

eq.(10) can be simplified into

$$\Delta_L h_{\mu\nu} = \Box h_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu h - 2\nabla_{(\mu} A_{\nu)} - \frac{2n\chi}{n-1} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2\chi}{n-1} h\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{13}$$

Inserting (13) into (11) and then into (8), we will get the fully expanded linear perturbation equation.

We need to proceed differently for background vacua with $\chi = 0$ and $\chi \neq 0$.

(a) Minkowski background

If $\chi = 0$, i.e. Minkowski background, eq.(8) will be significantly simplified, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_2 \big[\Box^2 h_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}\Box(\Box h - \partial^\sigma A_\sigma) - \Box\partial_\mu\partial_\nu h - 2\Box\partial_{(\mu} A_{\nu)} + 2\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial^\sigma A_\sigma\big] \\ &\quad + \big[\Box h_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}(\Box h - \partial^\sigma A_\sigma) + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu h - 2\partial_{(\mu} A_{\nu)}\big] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the second line corresponds to the $\alpha_1(=1)$ terms. The absence of $\alpha_k (k > 2)$ in (14) implies that the terms of order $k > 2$ do not affect the perturbations around the Minkowski background, the only complexity comes from the quadratic Ricci tensor term.

If, in addition, we let $\alpha_2 = 0$, the linearized field equation (14) will be the same as the linearized standard Einstein equation, which describes a normal massless spin-2 field and so the fluctuation around the Minkowski vacuum is ghost free.

If $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, and for example when $n = 4$ we can decompose $h_{\mu\nu}$ into its irreducible parts by defining

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} h\eta_{\mu\nu}$$

and choose the gauge

$$\partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = A_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu h = 0,$$

then the linearized field equation (14) becomes

$$\Box\Big(\Box + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\Big)\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + (\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu)\Big(\Box - \frac{1}{2\alpha_2}\Big)h = 0, \tag{15}$$

from which we see that either the trace part or the trace free part is a ghost degree of freedom. The same is true had we chosen any other spacetime dimensions. Combined together, we conclude that $\alpha_2 = 0$ should be the ghost free condition in the case with $\chi = 0$.

(b) (A)dS background

If $\chi \neq 0$, it will be convenient to work in the gauge $A_\mu = \nabla_\mu h$. The trace of eq.(8) gives

$$\big[B_{(n,N)}(\chi)\Box + \chi A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)\big]h = 0, \tag{16}$$

where $A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)$ is the derivative of $A_{(n,N)}(x)$ with respect to x evaluated at $x=\chi$, and

$$B_{(n,N)}(\chi)=\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\alpha_{k+1}(k+1)\big[n(k-2)+2\big]\chi^k.$$

So, $A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)\neq 0$, eq. (16) describes a propagating scalar mode except when

$$B_{(n,N)}(\chi)=0,$$

in which case we learn from eq (16) that $h=0$, and thus $A_\mu=\nabla^\nu h_{\mu\nu}=0$, i.e. $h_{\mu\nu}$ is traceless and transverse, therefore eq. (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\delta H_{\mu\nu}=-\Big\{&\frac{C_{(n,N)}(\chi)}{4\chi}\Box^2+\Big[\frac{n-1}{2}-C_{(n,N)}(\chi)\Big]\frac{1}{n-1}\Box\\&+\Big[\big(1-\frac{n}{2}\big)C_{(n,N)}(\chi)-(n-1)\Big]\frac{\chi}{(n-1)^2}\Big\}h_{\mu\nu}=0,\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where

$$C_{(n,N)}(\chi)=\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\alpha_{k+1}k(k+1)\chi^k.$$

If $C_{(n,N)}(\chi)=0$, we get

$$\Big(\Box-\frac{2\chi}{n-1}\Big)h_{\mu\nu}=0,\tag{18}$$

which describes a massless spin-2 mode; if $C_{(n,N)}(\chi)\neq 0$, eq. (17) can be rearranged in the form

$$\Big(\Box-\frac{2\chi}{n-1}-m_1^2\Big)\Big(\Box-\frac{2\chi}{n-1}-m_2^2\Big)h_{\mu\nu}=0,\tag{19}$$

with the two mass parameters $m_{1,2}$ satisfying

$$m_1^2m_2^2=-\frac{n}{2}\Big(\frac{2\chi}{n-1}\Big)^2.$$

Thus, in the case when $C_{(n,N)}(\chi)\neq 0$, we will have a massive spin-2 field and a spin-2 ghost.

To summarize, in the case $\chi\neq 0$ and if we wish to remove the propagating scalar mode altogether, the ghost free condition will read

$$A_{(n,N)}(\chi)=0,\quad B_{(n,N)}(\chi)=0,\quad C_{(n,N)}(\chi)=0,\quad A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)\neq 0.\tag{20}$$

Looking into the detailed structure of the polynomials $A_{(n,N)}(\chi)$, $B_{(n,N)}(\chi)$ and $C_{(n,N)}(\chi)$, we will see that whenever the first 3 equalities in (20) are satisfied, we will have

$$A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)=1-\frac{n}{2}<0\qquad(\text{for } n>2).$$

So the last condition is not really necessary.

An equivalent form of the ghost free condition (20) can be written as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\alpha_{k+1}\chi^k=-\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\alpha_{k+1}k\chi^k=\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\alpha_{k+1}k^2\chi^k=\frac{2}{n}-1. \tag{21}$$

For any $N>1$, this amounts to solve $N-1$ coefficients out of 3 equations. Therefore, for $N<4$, (21) will give rise to a system of over determined algebraic equations for the coefficients $\{\alpha_k, 2\leq k\leq N\}$, and it turns out that such a system of over determined equations is inconsistent. If $N=4$, (21) constitutes a system of determined algebraic equations, whose solution is characterized purely by the value of n and χ. If $N>4$, (21) constitutes a system of undetermined equations, which implies that $N-4$ of the coefficients $\{\alpha_k\}$ can be chosen arbitrarily. Since χ itself is to be considered as a free parameter in the action, there will be as many as $N-3$ free parameters in the ghost free RicPoly$_{(n,N)}$ models.

It is worth noting that the above ghost free conditions ensure only the removal of ghost fluctuations around the vacuum (12). However, since $A_{(n,N)}(x)$ is a polynomial of order N in x, it can have up to N roots in principle, and each of the roots gives a vacuum for the RicPoly$_{(n,N)}$ model. So, the removal of ghost fluctuations around one of the vacua does not imply the removal of ghost fluctuations around other vacua. For $N>4$ models we can take the liberty of deliberately choosing the values of the extra $N-4$ free parameters to make the model ghost free also at some other vacua. For instance, if $N=5$ we can set $\alpha_2=0$ to make the model ghost free around the Minkowski vacuum. In any case, we think of the fact that the RicPoly$_{(n,N)}$ model can be ghost free around some of the vacua but not around all of them as a feature rather than defect, because, in cosmology, ghost like degrees of freedom are sometimes necessary to facilitate accelerating expansion.

Tables 1 through 3 give the list of coupling coefficients for all the RicPoly$_{(n,N)}$ models of order $N=4,5,6$ in dimensions $n=3,4,\cdots,10$ which are ghost and scalar free around the maximally symmetric vacuum described by (12) with $\chi\neq 0$. We did not fix the free parameter α_2 in the case $N=5$ and α_2,α_3 in the case $N=6$, because there is no obvious reasons to make the model ghost free around which extra vacua besides the maximally symmetric one given by (12).

It is illustrative to consider the energy for the massless tensor perturbation mode (18), which may be evaluated via calculating the second order variation of the action (1) under the maximally symmetric background (12). To be more concrete, since the first variation of the action (1) reads

$$\delta S=\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|g|}H_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}=-\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|g|}H_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu},$$

the second variation can be written as

$$\delta^2 S=-\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|g|}\left(h^{\mu\nu}\delta H_{\mu\nu}+\delta h^{\mu\nu}H_{\mu\nu}\right),$$

n	α_2	α_3	α_4
3	$-\frac{2}{\chi}$	$\frac{8}{3\chi^2}$	$-\frac{1}{\chi^3}$
4	$-\frac{3}{\chi}$	$\frac{4}{\chi^2}$	$-\frac{3}{2\chi^3}$
5	$-\frac{18}{5\chi}$	$\frac{24}{5\chi^2}$	$-\frac{9}{5\chi^3}$
6	$-\frac{4}{\chi}$	$\frac{16}{3\chi^2}$	$-\frac{2}{\chi^3}$
7	$-\frac{30}{7\chi}$	$\frac{40}{7\chi^2}$	$-\frac{15}{7\chi^3}$
8	$-\frac{9}{2\chi}$	$\frac{6}{\chi^2}$	$-\frac{9}{4\chi^3}$
9	$-\frac{14}{3\chi}$	$\frac{56}{9\chi^2}$	$-\frac{7}{3\chi^3}$
10	$-\frac{24}{5\chi}$	$\frac{32}{5\chi^2}$	$-\frac{12}{5\chi^3}$

Table 1: Coupling coefficients for $N = 4$ models

n	α_3	α_4	α_5
3	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{10}{3\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{5}{\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{2}{\chi^4}$
4	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{5}{\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{15}{2\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{3}{\chi^4}$
5	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{6}{\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{9}{\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{18}{5\chi^4}$
6	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{20}{3\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{10}{\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{4}{\chi^4}$
7	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{50}{7\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{75}{7\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{30}{7\chi^4}$
8	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{15}{2\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{45}{4\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{9}{2\chi^4}$
9	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{70}{9\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{35}{3\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{14}{3\chi^4}$
10	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi}-\frac{8}{\chi^2}$	$\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^2}+\frac{12}{\chi^3}$	$-\frac{\alpha_2}{\chi^3}-\frac{24}{5\chi^4}$

Table 2: Coupling coefficients for $N = 5$ models

n	α_4	α_5	α_6
3	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{5}{\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{8}{\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{10}{3\chi^5}$
4	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{15}{2\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{12}{\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{5}{\chi^5}$
5	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{9}{\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{72}{5\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{6}{\chi^5}$
6	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{10}{\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{16}{\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{20}{3\chi^5}$
7	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{75}{7\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{120}{7\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{50}{7\chi^5}$
8	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{45}{4\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{18}{\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{15}{2\chi^5}$
9	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{35}{3\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{56}{3\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{70}{9\chi^5}$
10	$-\frac{6\alpha_2}{\chi^2}-\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi}-\frac{12}{\chi^3}$	$\frac{8\alpha_2}{\chi^3}+\frac{3\alpha_3}{\chi^2}+\frac{96}{5\chi^4}$	$-\frac{3\alpha_2}{\chi^4}-\frac{\alpha_3}{\chi^3}-\frac{8}{\chi^5}$

Table 3: Coupling coefficients for $N = 6$ models

where the last term can be dropped because $H_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes due to the field equation, and $\delta H_{\mu\nu}$ in the first term is given by (17), if the background metric is chosen to be (12). Under our choice of ghost free condition $C_{(n,N)}(\chi) = 0$, we have

$$\delta H_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\Box - \frac{2\chi}{n-1}\Big)h_{\mu\nu},$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2 S &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}(h^{\mu\nu}\delta H_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^n x\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\sigma h^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\chi}{n-1}h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}\Big) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^n x\mathcal{L}_2,\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds up to a total divergence.

The momentum density that is conjugates to $h_{\mu\nu}$ reads

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_2}{\delta(\dot{h}_{\mu\nu})} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\nabla^0 h^{\mu\nu}.$$

Therefore the canonical Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}H &= \int \mathrm{d}^{n-1}x(\pi^{\mu\nu}\dot{h}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{L}_2) = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^{n-1}\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}(\nabla^0 h^{\mu\nu}\dot{h}_{\mu\nu} - h^{\mu\nu}\delta H_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^{n-1}\sqrt{|\bar{g}|}\nabla^0 h^{\mu\nu}\dot{h}_{\mu\nu},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the perturbation equation to set $\delta H_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The final result for the Hamiltonian is then identified as the energy of the perturbation mode, which is the same as that for standard Einstein gravity and was known to be positive [9].

It remains to consider the case $B_{(n,N)}(\chi) \neq 0$, i.e. when the propagating scalar mode is present. In this case, eq.(16) can be rearranged in the form

$$\Big(\Box - \frac{2\chi}{n-1} - m^2\Big)h = 0,$$

with

$$m^2 = -\frac{2\chi}{n-1} - \frac{\chi A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)}{B_{(n,N)}(\chi)}.$$

So, if $\frac{\chi A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)}{B_{(n,N)}(\chi)} < -\frac{2\chi}{n-1}$, h is a massive scalar mode, if $\frac{\chi A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)}{B_{(n,N)}(\chi)} = -\frac{2\chi}{n-1}$, h is a massless scalar mode, and if $\frac{\chi A'_{(n,N)}(\chi)}{B_{(n,N)}(\chi)} > -\frac{2\chi}{n-1}$, h will be a scalar ghost mode. Identifying the complete ghost free conditions when the scalar mode is present will be a complicated task and we shall postpone it to future works.

4 RicPoly$_{(4,4)}$: black hole and cosmological solutions

Among the various choices for N and n, the simplest and most promising example case which is ghost free at least around one of the vacua with $\chi \neq 0$ is RicPoly$_{(4,4)}$. The action for this simplest model reads

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{|g|}\Big(R - \frac{3}{\chi}\overset{(2)}{\mathcal{R}} + \frac{4}{\chi^2}\overset{(3)}{\mathcal{R}} - \frac{3}{2\chi^3}\overset{(4)}{\mathcal{R}}\Big). \tag{22}$$

The polynomial $A_{(4,4)}(x)$ reads

$$A_{(4,4)}(x) = -\frac{3x^4}{\chi^3} + \frac{4x^3}{\chi^2} - x,$$

which has 4 real roots

$$x_i \equiv \Lambda_i = 0, \chi, \frac{1}{6}(1+\sqrt{13})\chi, \frac{1}{6}(1-\sqrt{13})\chi. \tag{23}$$

Each of these gives rise to an Einstein manifold $R^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda_i g^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}$ $(i = i, 2, 3, 4)$ as a vacuum solution for the model (22). Note that these are not necessarily the same as the maximally symmetric vacua around which we made linear perturbations in the last section. Instead, they can be generic Einstein manifolds with effective cosmological constants Λ_i.

To be more specific, let us consider static spherically symmetric black hole solutions. The usual Schwarzschild-(A)dS black holes fall into this class of solutions:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -f_i(r)\mathrm{d}t^2 + f_i(r)^{-1}\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\mathrm{d}\Omega_2^2, \tag{24}$$

$$f_i(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda_i r^2}{3}. \tag{25}$$

This looks all the same like the standard general relativity with a cosmological constant. What makes a big difference is that there are simultaneously 4 such solutions with the same mass parameter M but different Λ_i given in (23). Since the radii of the event horizons of the black holes are determined by the equations

$$f_i(r) = 0,$$

it is clear that black holes of the same mass in Ricci polynomial gravity can have different radii. Consequently, when considering the thermodynamic behaviors of these black holes, the temperatures should be different for different solutions. Moreover, since Ricci polynomial gravity belongs to the class of higher curvature gravities, the entropies of the black holes should be evaluated by Wald entropy formula rather than Bekenstein-Hawking formula, and it is naturally expected that they differ from the entropies of the black holes with the same metric in Einstein gravity. The entropies for black holes with different Λ_i should also differ from each other even though the mass parameters are identical. These features suggest that there may be rich structures

in the thermodynamics - especially concerning phase transitions - for the black hole solutions.

Before ending, let us pay a few words on the cosmological implications of the effective cosmological constants. It is evident from (23) that provided $\chi \neq 0$ (which is implied when we write the action (22)), the 4 effective cosmological constants will have different signs. For instance, if $\chi > 0$, then two of the effective cosmological constants will be possitive, one is negative and one is zero. Different signatures of Λ_i signifies different asymptotics of the solutions, and this can have profound implications when cosmological solutions are being considered. For simplicity, let us present the spatially flat FRW cosmological solutions of the field equations. The FRW metrics are given by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a_i(t)(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2 + \mathrm{d}z^2), \tag{26}$$

$$a_i(t) = 1, \exp\Big(\frac{2\sqrt{3\chi}}{3}t\Big), \exp\Big(\frac{\sqrt{2\chi}}{3}\sqrt{1+\sqrt{13}t}\Big), \exp\Big(\frac{\sqrt{2\chi}}{3}\sqrt{1-\sqrt{13}t}\Big). \tag{27}$$

Some of these solutions are complex and should be neglected. For instance, let us assume $\chi > 0$. Then the last solution is complex, and we are left with two accelerating expanding solutions and one non-expanding solution. It is tempting to consider such a possibility that the universe begins from one accelerating expanding phase, then, after a short period, experience a phase transition to the non-expanding phase for another period of time, and finally make a second transition to the other accelerating phase at late time. This rough picture feels close to the current observational universe, even without considering the contribution of ordinary matter sources. So, if refined with ordinary matter contribution, this may led to a useful model for the evolution of the universe.

5 Concluding Remarks

Our preliminary study has revealed several interesting features of the Ricci polynomial gravities. First of all, the inclusion of terms of higher order in Ricci curvature improves the ultra violet behavior. By power counting, such models may be super renormalizable. Secondly, unlike other higher curvature gravity models which often develop ghost degrees of freedom, the Ricci polynomial gravity models can be made free of ghost as well as massive degrees of freedom at least around one of their maximally symmetric vacua, and provided the order N is high enough, the ghost free conditions are not too restrictive so that there can be as many as $N-3$ free parameters in the action. The existence of multiple Einstein vacua with different effective cosmological constants is the third feature which may have profound implications in black hole physics as well as in cosmological contexts. We hope to make deeper understandings about these models in future works.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grant No. 11575088.

References

[1] P. G. Bergmann, "Comments on the scalar-tensor theory", Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1, 25-36 (1968).

[2] H. A. Buchdahl, "Non-linear Lagrangians and cosmological theory", Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 150, 1-8 (1970).

[3] A. A. Starobinsky, "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity", Phy. Lett. B 91, 99-102 (1980). Phys. Rev. Lett.

[4] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, "$f(R)$ theories", Living Rev. Rel. 13, 3 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4928].

[5] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, "Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from $F(R)$ theory to Lorentz non-invariant models", Phys. Rept. 505 (2011) 59-144 [arXiv:1011.0544].

[6] C. Lanczos, Z. Phys. 73, 147 (1932).

[7] D. Lovelock, "The Einstein Tensor and Its Generalizations", J. Math. Phys. 12, 498 (1971).

[8] C. Charmousis, "Higher order gravity theories and their black hole solutions", Lect. Notes Phys. 769, 299 (2009) [arXiv:0805.0568].

[9] H. Lü and C. N. Pope, "Critical Gravity in Four Dimensions", Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 181302 (2011) [arXiv:1101.1971].

[10] S. Deser, H. Liu, H. Lü, C. N. Pope, T. C. Sisman and B. Tekin, "Critical Points of D-Dimensional Extended Gravities", Phys. Rev. D 83, 061502 (2011) [arXiv:1101.4009].

[11] H. Weyl, "Gravitation und Elektrizität", Sitzungsber, Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin, 465-480 (1918).

[12] L. O'Raifeartaigh, "The Dawning of Gauge Theory", Princeton University Press.

[13] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, "Conformal Supergravity", Phys. Rept. 119, 233 (1985).

[14] H. van Dam and M. J. G. Veltman, "Massive and massless Yang-Mills and gravitational fields", Nucl. Phys. B 22, 397 (1970).

[15] V. I. Zakharov, "Linearized gravitation theory and the graviton mass", JETP Lett. 12, 312 (1970).

[16] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, "Topologically Massive Gauge Theories", Annals Phys. 140, 372 (1982).

[17] S. Deser, "Cosmological topological supergravity", in Christensen, S.M. (Ed.): Quantum Theory Of Gravity, 374-381 (1982).

[18] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, "Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions", Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 201301 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1766].

[19] W. Li, W. Song and A. Strominger, "Chiral gravity in three dimensions", JHEP 0804, 082 (2008), [arXiv:0801.4566].